CITY OF NEWPORT BEACH, CALIFORNIA

SINGLE AUDIT OF FEDERALLY ASSISTED GRANT PROGRAMS

JUNE 30, 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

June 30, 2017

	Page <u>Number</u>
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1 - 2
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance Required by Uniform Guidance and on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	3 - 5
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6 - 7
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	8 - 9
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	10 - 12
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	13

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

The Honorable Mayor and Members of City Council City of Newport Beach Newport Beach, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Newport Beach, California (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated December 26, 2017.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. We did identify a certain deficiency in internal control, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, as Finding 2017-001, that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

White Nelson Diehl Curns LLP

Irvine, California December 26, 2017

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE AND ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

The Honorable Mayor and Members of City Council City of Newport Beach Newport Beach, California

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of Newport Beach's (the City) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2017. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2017.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency in *internal control over compliance* is a deficiency or compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated December 26, 2017, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance (Continued)

Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

White nelson Diehl Cuans LIP

Irvine, California

March 7, 2018 except to the date of the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards to which the date is December 26, 2017

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS AND SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

For the year ended June 30, 2017

Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program / Cluster Title	Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number	Program Identification Number	Federal Expenditures	Subrecipient Expenditures
<u>United States Department of Housing</u> <u>and Urban Development</u> Direct Assistance: Community Development Block Grants/ Entitlement Grants Total United States Department of Housing and Urban Development	14.218	B-16-MC-06-0546 Program Income	\$ 183,195 100,304 283,499	\$ 65,900
United States Department of Justice Direct Assistance:				
Bulletproof Vest Grant	16.607	(1)	15,576	
Equitable Sharing Program - Asset Forfeiture	16.922	(1)	42,255	
Total United States Department of Justice			57,831	
<u>United States Department of Treasury</u> Direct Assistance: Equitable Sharing Program - Asset Forfeiture Total United States Department of Treasury	21.016	(1)	31	
<u>United States Department of Transportation</u> Passed through the State of California Department of Transportation: Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	BRLO-5151 (026) STPL-5151-030	5,129,745 1,000,000	-
Total Highway Planning and Construction			6,129,745	
Passed through the State of California Office of Traffic Safety: State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	PT1780 PT1631	73,251 17,132	
Total State and Community Highway Safety			90,383	

(Continued)

See accompanying notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS (CONTINUED)

For the year ended June 30, 2017

Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program / Cluster Title <u>United States Department of Transportation</u> (Continued)	Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number	Program Identification Number	Federal Expenditures	Subrecipient Expenditures
Passed through the State of California Office of Traffic Safety: Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated	20.608	PT1780 PT1631	\$	
Total Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated			159,093	
Total United States Department of Transportation			6,379,221	
<u>United States Department of Homeland Security</u> Passed through the County of Orange: Emergency Management Performance Grants	97.042	2015-0049	12,980	
Total United States Department of Homeland Security			12,980	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS			\$ 6,733,562	\$ 65,900

(1) Program identification numbers were not provided by the grantor. As such, this information was not available.

See accompanying notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

For the year ended June 30, 2017

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION:

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (the Schedule) includes the federal award activity of the City of Newport Beach, California (the City), under programs of the federal government for the year ended June 30, 2017. The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the City, it is not intended to, and does not, present the financial statements of the City. The City's reporting entity is defined in Note 1 of the notes to the City's financial statements.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

Expenditures reported on the Schedule are reported using the modified accrual basis of accounting for governmental funds and the accrual basis for proprietary funds, which is described in Note 1 of the notes to the City's financial statements. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement.

3. INDIRECT COST RATE:

The City has elected not to use the 10% de minimis indirect cost rate as allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

4. RELATIONSHIP TO COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT:

Amounts reported in the accompanying Schedule agree with amounts reported within the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

5. RELATIONSHIP TO FEDERAL FINANCIAL REPORTS:

Amounts reported in the accompanying Schedule agree with amounts reported in federal financial reports.

6. CONTINGENCIES:

Under the terms of federal and state grants, additional audits may be requested by the grantor agencies and certain costs may be questioned as not being appropriate expenditures under the terms of the grants. Such audits could lead to a request for reimbursement to the grantor agencies.

NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS (CONTINUED)

For the year ended June 30, 2017

6. SUBRECIPIENTS:

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, the City disbursed federal funds to the following subrecipients under the Community Development Block Grant program (CFDA #14.218):

	Amount	
	Disbursed	
Fair Housing Services	\$	12,000
Families Forward		15,000
Human Options Community Domestic Violence Services		7,900
Council on Aging Orange County		6,000
Age Well Senior Services		25,000
Total Disbursed to Subrecipients	<u>\$</u>	65,900

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

For the year ended June 30, 2017

1. SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS:

Financial Statements

Type of auditors' report issued:

• Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:

- Material weakness identified? _____yes ____ no
 Significant deficiency identified? _____yes ____ none reported
- Finding Number 2017-001

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted: _____ yes x_n no

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:

Material weakness(es) identified?
Significant deficiency(es) identified?
yes <u>x</u> no
yes <u>x</u> none reported

Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs:

• Unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR Section 200.516(a) of the Uniform Guidance? _____ yes ____ no

Identification of major programs:

CFDA Number(s) 20.205	<u>Name of Federal Program or Cluster</u> United States Department of Transportation, Highway Planning and Construction
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs:	\$750,000
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	<u>x</u> yes <u>no</u>

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED)

For the year ended June 30, 2017

2. FINDINGS - FINANCIAL STATEMENT AUDIT:

SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY

Finding Number 2017-001

Condition

During our analysis of the current year calculations of the estimated net pension liability and related deferred outflows and inflows of resources for City's defined benefit pension plans, we noted that the City had made a prior period adjustment to correct the deferred outflow of resources related to employer contributions made during fiscal year 15-16 that were subsequent to the net pension liability measurement date of June 30, 2015.

Criteria

Deferred outflow of resources should include all employer contributions made during the fiscal year that were subsequent to the net pension liability measurement date, without regard to prior period retroactive pay adjustments.

Cause

The cause for the prior period adjustment was the inclusion of employer contributions pertaining to retroactive pay adjustments.

Effect

Deferred outflows of resources and net position as of July 1, 2016 in the City's Water, Wastewater, Internal Service Funds, and government-wide activities were overstated.

Recommendation

We recommend that the City implement procedures to ensure that employer contributions made subsequent to the measurement date are recorded accurately in the City's general ledger and are reconciled to the records of such contributions maintained by the California Public Employees' Retirement System.

Management's Response

The City concurs with the comment and the recommendation. The City inadvertently included prior period retroactive adjustments in the amount reported for the employer contributions made during fiscal year 15-16 subsequent to the net pension liability measurement date of June 30, 2015. CalPERS does not consider prior period retroactive adjustments as part of employer contributions for fiscal year 15-16. Going forward, staff will make sure not to include any prior period adjustments in amounts reported for employer contributions.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED)

For the year ended June 30, 2017

3. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAM AUDIT:

None noted.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS

For the year ended June 30, 2017

1. FINDINGS - FINANCIAL STATEMENT AUDIT:

None noted.

2. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAM AUDIT:

None noted.