CITY OF NEWPORT BEACH, CALIFORNIA SINGLE AUDIT OF FEDERALLY ASSISTED GRANT PROGRAMS

JUNE 30, 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

June 30, 2019

	Page <u>Number</u>
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	1 - 2
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance Required by Uniform Guidance and on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	3 - 5
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6 - 7
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	8
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	9 - 10
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	11



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

The Honorable Mayor and Members of City Council City of Newport Beach Newport Beach, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Newport Beach, California (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated December 18, 2019.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Irvine, California

December 18, 2019

White Nelson Diehl Tuans UP



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE AND ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

The Honorable Mayor and Members of City Council City of Newport Beach Newport Beach, California

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of Newport Beach's (the City) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2019. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2019.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of and for the year ended June 30, 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated December 18, 2019, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance (Continued)

Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Irvine, California

White Nelson Diehl Grans UP

March 3, 2020, except to the date of the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards to which the date is December 18, 2019

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS AND SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

For the year ended June 30, 2019

	Catalog of Federal			
	Domestic	Program		
Federal Grantor / Pass-Through	Assistance	Identification	Federal	Subrecipient
Grantor / Program / Cluster Title	Number	Number	Expenditures	Expenditures
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development				
Direct Assistance:				
Community Development Block Grants/				
Entitlement Grants	14.218	B-18-MC-06-0546	\$ 297,156	52,158
Zanara Grand	1210	Program Income	4,252	-
Total United States Department of		6		
Housing and Urban Development			301,408	52,158
United States Department of Justice				
Direct Assistance:				
Bulletproof Vest Grant	16.607	(1)	24,734	-
Equitable Sharing Program - Asset Forfeiture	16.922	(1)	472,943	
Total United States Department of Justice			497,677	-
United States Department of Transportation				
Passed through the State of California				
Department of Transportation:				
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	BRLO-5151 (026)	347,794	
Total Highway Planning and Construction			347,794	
Total Highway Flamming and Construction			347,794	
Passed through the State of California Office				
of Traffic Safety:				
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	PT19102	57,236	-
		PT18102	37,328	
Total State and Community Highway Safety			94,564	
Passed through the State of California Office				
of Traffic Safety:				
Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for	20.608	PT19102	98,483	_
Driving While Intoxicated	20.000	PT18102	68,534	_
Diving while interieured		1110102	00,551	
Total Minimum Penalties for Repeat				
Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated			167,017	
Total United States Department of				
Transportation			609,375	

(Continued)

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS (CONTINUED)

For the year ended June 30, 2019

	Catalog of Federal Domestic	Program	F.I.I	
Federal Grantor / Pass-Through	Assistance	Identification	Federal	Subrecipient
Grantor / Program / Cluster Title	Number	Number	Expenditures	Expenditures
<u>United States Department of Homeland Security</u>				
Passed through the County of Orange:				
Emergency Management Performance Grants	97.042	2015-0049	3,537	
Total United States Department of				
Homeland Security			3,537	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES				
OF FEDERAL AWARDS			\$ 1,411,997	\$ 52,158

⁽¹⁾ Program identification numbers were not provided by the grantor. As such, this information was not available.

NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

For the year ended June 30, 2019

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (the Schedule) includes the federal award activity of the City of Newport Beach, California (the City), under programs of the federal government for the year ended June 30, 2019. The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the City, it is not intended to, and does not, present the financial statements of the City. The City's reporting entity is defined in Note 1 of the notes to the City's financial statements.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Expenditures reported on the Schedule are reported using the modified accrual basis of accounting for governmental funds and the accrual basis for proprietary funds, which is described in Note 1 of the notes to the City's financial statements. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement.

3. INDIRECT COST RATE

The City has elected not to use the 10% de minimis indirect cost rate as allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

4. CONTINGENCIES

Under the terms of federal and state grants, additional audits may be requested by the grantor agencies and certain costs may be questioned as not being appropriate expenditures under the terms of the grants. Such audits could lead to a request for reimbursement to the grantor agencies.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

For the year ended June 30, 2019

1. SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS

Financial Statements					
Type of auditors' report issued: • Unmodified					
Internal control over financial repMaterial weakness identifiedSignificant deficiency identified	?		yes yes		no none reported
Noncompliance material to finan	cial statements noted:		yes	<u>X</u>	no
Federal Awards					
 Internal control over major progr Material weakness identified Significant deficiency identif Type of auditors' report issued or Unmodified 	? ied?				no none reported
Any audit findings disclosed that reported in accordance with 2 CF 200.516(a) of the Uniform Guida	R Section		yes	<u>X</u>	no
Identification of major program:					
<u>CFDA Number(s)</u> 16.922	Name of Federal Prog Equitable Sharing Fu				
Dollar threshold used to distinguabetween type A and type B progr		\$	750,000	<u>)</u>	
Auditee qualified as low-risk aud	litee?	<u>X</u>	yes		no

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED)

For the year ended June 30, 2019

2.	FINDINGS - FINANCIAL STATEMENT AUDIT
	None noted.

3. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAM AUDIT None noted.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS

For the year ended June 30, 2019

1. FINDINGS - FINANCIAL STATEMENT AUDIT

None noted.

None noted.

2. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAM AUDIT